Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/943,144	EICHEL, BERTRAM
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dionne A. Walls	1731
All Participants:	Status of Application):
(1) <u>Dionne A. Walls</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>John Serio</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: Those of record, specifically claim 1		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT V	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a w	ritten summary of the substance
Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Postage 44	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested that a change be made to the language of claim 1 to reflect that moisture "enhances" rather than "permits" the removal of tobacco smoke substances to more accurately reflect the language in instant specification on page 26. Also, it was requested that claims 26-42 be cancelled - as drawn to a non-elected invetnion - and a request was made to charge the deposit account for a 1 month extention of time, all of which is to place the Application in condition for allowance. Mr. Serio agreed, and such is reflected in the attached Examiner's Amdendment.